

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## OPEN LETTERS.

## Astragalus lanocarpus and A. bajaensis.

It seems necessary to notice an ill-advised personal attack which Professor E. L. Greene has made upon me in a recent number of *Erythea*. Professor Greene's failure to keep pace with modern Latin scholarship, pardonable indeed in his case, has betrayed him into an

uncalled for display of language.

The trouble seems to be that Mr. Greene objects to such a specific name as lanocarpus in the combination Astragalus lanocarpus lately published by me. I understand him to profess that the name is compounded of words taken from two languages. And because Mr. Greene has not been properly instructed that carpus is a good Latin word, he makes his personal attack upon me. In some moment of mental illumination I hope he will be sorry for this. He intimates that I "lack a grammar school education." He writes that I "have assumed that a mere beginner in systematic botany may unblushingly announce himself an authority upon so large and difficult a genus as Astragalus." That I am a mere beginner is true, although I have made systematic botany my principal occupation for about ten years. That I have announced myself as an authority is wholly untrue. I challenge Mr. Greene to produce a published word of mine in which I have made any such announcement. I consider myself but a student of the genus, and I assure Mr. Greene that upon his failure to produce proof of his unfounded statement, I shall expect a full and abject retraction.

So much for Professor Greene's lapse from courtesy. Regarding "carpus," I beg to refer Professor Greene to page 95 of vol. II of Furlanetto's splendid six volume work, "Totius Latinatis Lexicon, opera et studia Algeidii Forcellini," where he will find "carpus, genitive carpi, m. from Greek karpos." He will also learn that this word occurs in Roman inscriptions of the Empire period. He will discover that while earlier and insufficient study had excluded the word from the Latin vocabulary, later research has shown its place to be as unquestionable as brevis, for example, which is also from a Greek root. Yet Mr. Greene does not object to brevifolia or brevifora. Furthermore, he will learn that the Romans themselves used the compound word omni-

carpus.

Briefly, then, carpus is a good Latin word, cuspidocarpus, lanocarpus and the rest are correctly formed, and in trying to change them, Mr.

Greene has given birth to abortive synonyms.

The other mistake of Mr. Greene concerns the specific name bajaensis. Baja, he objects is a Spanish adjective, meaning lower. This is true, but it is also a substantive. Baja, for example, is the name of a town in the state of Coahuila, Mexico. Therefore, bajaensis is correct, just as nevadensis is correct.

I hope that this will show Mr. Greene the insecurity of dogmatism.

-EDMUND P. SHELDON, University of Minnesota.